

na supferation could ago a see to copyright.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
To nosi-oner of grad to sendative and of as ferror of trademarks and A trademarks as a sendative and the sendative and th

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/157/012	Complete Something with the some some some some some some some som	The Man Lee Zolley makens, or according to the Man	i kumi neari, si ficip <mark>ysi</mark>	Hotel Kroning (19) Galling was a ware (3) 6 (3) 70 (4)	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(1) さ、りまかいかけまたししまた。それは、	्रा प्राप्त के कार्या कार्या के स्थाप के प्राप्त के कार्या के प्राप्त के कार्या के प्राप्त के कार्या के प्राप्त असम्बद्धित कार्या के प्राप्त के प		en in State of the American	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
and the second second section of the second section of the second section section is a second section of the second section se	man area (Protestant)	and a specific mediate meteoria in a second	1654	aufgrus va mili brun	
. ఈ కరియాన్ వ మార్క్ మండ్ కొండి	gradus Linera (Linera) gradus falagons natura	يون معيد المنظم المعالم المنظم الم		A. S.	
	INTE	RVIEW SUMMARY			
podicinante (applicant, applicant's	representative PTO persor	eur a small specia pour la maritém a soi la perignat sedicil marita (n. 1906). Mellike salar (n. 1907) ment est est en solle en salar (n. 1966). A fan open su ean de kark (3)	nda Barata o tolonyin	an gerin datik di katalahan di k annan salah giran dalah sebagi	
Finalez deix	Silt grip to the section of the section of	is in page of purposes to a get all about 1992 to the large of the page of the		ng si in yawys an i di Si ing kab <u>ina i s</u> a	
ute of Interview 3-13-6	3	and the Entreeding Entre to set to and a sea of sections to the book and for the section of the	and the second of the second o	STATE BY STATE SHOW STATE SHOW STATE SHOW STATE SHOW SHOW SHOW SHOW SHOW	
pe: 🏿 Telephonic 🗌 Personal (copy is given to applica	ant applicant's representative).		energy projection por	
hibit shown or demonstration cond			The No.	The state of the s	
reement □ was reached. 🕽 wa aim(s) discussed: ₩ ρω	<i>1</i> *	ege . * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	en in the second of the second	(A) 10 th 15 5 5 5	
entification of prior art discussed:_	Newad	The state of the s			
munication of phor an discussed		្រុកការការការការការការការការការការការការការ		्रा १५ म्हेर्स १५ १ वस्त्र संबद्धित १६ व्य	
7.	San Service of the Control	A CHARLEST COME TO THE	িন ন্তুট্ট সং		
escription of the general nature of v	vhat was agreed to if an agr	eement was reached, or any other com	iments:	89 32 .65	
Discussed M	18tanding	eement was reached, or any other com	TERMINET OF STATE	- 12 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日	
A CONTRACTOR	e ja origa jarokras oli eri eri. 1941. – Soli eri erikk	and the second section of the second section section section sections section sections section sections section sections section sections section sections section section sections section se	A GO A AND A	Pro all provinción Pro all provinción	
	North Mark 17 Comments	ran i kidologa i vetra i i i pilo seponi un			
		process of the state of the state of the	<u>人 () </u>	titus antises Tana antisets	
ust he attached. Also where no co	nov of the amendments which	s, if available, which the examiner agre th would render the claims allowable is ord of the substance of the interview.	avallable, a summa	ry mereor musi i	
ness the paragraph above has bee	en checked to indicate to the	e contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RES THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section ONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE	PONSE TO THE LA 713.04). If a respor	ST OFFICE ACT use to the last Of ENT OF THE	
Since the Examiner's interview rejections and requirements the is considered to fulfill the response the interview unless box.1 about 1	v summary above (including tat may be present in the lat onse requirements of the lat we is also checked.	any attachments) reflects a complete structure action, and since the claims are office action. Applicant is not relieve	response to reach of re now allowable, thi ed from providing a s	r the objections; s completed form separate record o	
kaminer Note: You must sign this fo	orm unless it is an attachme	nt to another form.	AND A THE STATE OF	ardas (1 gasudist) Kulin (1 gravitatis)	
ORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-96)	rail maga terkapat kitabo kitabo k	. १९ १८ - १८८८ - १८५८ मा स्थापन के स्थापन	trus basan - epi n - 2 - 6		

Anna de la lar sumasum Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

1 42 25 25 CE 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		miliantian muset	he made of rec	ord in the
A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with rega	ro to an ap	ppiication <u>must</u>	De Illaue Oi jec	VIU III III
the state of the s		and the second		
application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.				

IN ADDRESS OF HAR SEE THE INTERVIEWS

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file; unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability. WELDERVIEW WARREN

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters; directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures (2)

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given the contents of the serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances districtly the form the telephonic interview. dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The springer of the straight of the little of the straight of

THE STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TH

The Form provides for recordation of the following information: a serious and the serious and the following information of the follo

- -Serial Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- -An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed

 An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the . contrary.).
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the interview Summar Form completed by the examiner.
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy of elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
 7) If appropriate the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonmentjofithe application (37, CFR:h.135(c),) stomacis stoetter (stnessesses (n- p. bulber) evodit maanmie Verdoni instrement dis content on the The state of the s

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument of statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.